CPUC Comments on SWWH004-02 Laminar Flow Restrictor, Commercial

Lead PA: SCG

Workpaper Submittal Date: 11/2/2020

CPUC Review Date: 11/18/2020

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CPUC Comment | PA Response |
| Energy Impact table from EAD tables lists Electric Use Profile as “HVAC-Chillers”. This code was not found in PEAR, and is not applicable for this measure for because only buildings with gas-fired water heaters are eligible, and a chiller end-use would not be appropriate for water heater application. Please revise. | We can switch the end shape. This was a left the same as the old workpaper. The workpaper does not claim electric savings so this is just a placeholder. DEER:HVAC\_Chillers is an end shape that is available for use in CET and is in READI as seen in the screenshots below. As there are only 7 options to choose from for Non Res, we have switched to DEER:Indoor\_CFL\_Ltg |
| Why are there redundant Energy Impact IDs for the measures with the same UES values, and the only difference is that one has Energy Impact Profile listed, and the other does not list any Energy Impact Profile? An example of this is SWWH004A and SWWH004F. See lines 243 – 282 in the EAD table. | You are correct there are duplicates in the measure tab. The first 5 measures are duplicated so they can be given a different cost ID for the Direct Install delivery type. The DnDeemDI measures include labor cost while the DnDeemed and UpDeemed do not. In order to do this, duplicate measures had to be made as there isn’t a Delivery type column in the Measure Tab of the EAD spreadsheet. We will populate profiles for the missing rows and resubmit with comment file in the package. |

Please note responses to comments in the table below, revise workpaper, and upload the entire package to the WPA. If needed, please reach out to Workpaper Review Team to set up a call to discuss.



