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# At-a-Glance Summary

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Measure Codes** | HV327, HV326, HV328, HV329 |
| **Measure Description** | Set supply fan to “Auto” or intermittent during unoccupied periods |
| **Base Case Description** | Existing HVAC equipment with the supply fan operating continuously during unoccupied periods |
| **Units** | Per ton cooling capacity, Cap-Tons. |
| **Energy Savings** | Refer to Excel Calculation Attachment |
| **Full Measure Cost ($/unit)** | $5.43 per ton |
| **Incremental Measure Cost ($/unit)** | $5.43 per ton |
| **Effective Useful Life** | 3 years (DEER EUL ID: HVAC-RedcOverVent) |
| **Measure Installation Type** | Retro-Commissioning (RC), (RC, previously known as Retrofit Add-on (REA)) |
| **Net-to-Gross Ratio** | 0.73 (DEER NTGR ID: NonRes-sAll-mHVAC-RCA) |
| **Important Comments** | This work paper has a complementary Ex Ante Database data set that will be provided in a separate submission to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). |
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# Section 1. General Measure & Baseline Data

## 1.1 Measure Description & Background

This statewide work paper details reducing unoccupied supply fan operation for existing nonresidential split system and unitary HVAC equipment. This is one of eight work papers that cover specific HVAC Quality Maintenance (QM) treatments formerly combined into the measures described in the Revision 0 version of the June 26, 2012 Work Paper PGECOHVC138 Nonresidential HVAC RTU Quality Maintenance[[1]](#endnote-1) and the Revision 3 version of the December 26, 2014 Work Paper SCE13HC037 Comprehensive Commercial HVAC Rooftop Unit Quality Maintenance[[2]](#endnote-2). All HVAC QM treatments are now covered by the following work papers:

* Condenser Coil Cleaning (PGE3PHVC156R2)
* Economizer Controls (PGE3PHVC152R3)
* Economizer Repair (PGE3PHVC151R2)
* Evaporator Coil Cleaning (PGE3PHVC158R2)
* Refrigerant Charge Adjustment (PGE3PHVC160R2)
* Unoccupied Fan Control (PGE3PHVC157R2)
* Programmable Thermostat (PGE3PHVC153R3)

Separation of this HVAC QM treatment into a statewide set of measures in this work paper was performed using guidance from the document WORKPAPER DISPOSITION FOR Non-Residential HVAC Rooftop Quality Maintenance[[3]](#endnote-3) and supplementary spreadsheet: 20132014-CommercialHVACMaintenance-SavingsValues-April2013-v1-2.xlsx[[4]](#endnote-4). Both are referenced in more detail later in this document.

Table Base, Standard, and Measure Cases

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Case** | **Description of Typical Scenario** |
| Measure | Set supply fan to “Auto” or intermittent during unoccupied periods |
| Existing Condition | Existing HVAC equipment with the supply fan operating continuously during unoccupied periods |
| Code/Standard | N/A |
| Industry Standard Practice | Standard 180-2008, Standard Practice for Inspection and Maintenance of Commercial Building HVAC Systems[[5]](#endnote-5) |

Table Measures and Codes

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Measure Codes** | | | | **Measure Name** |
| SCG | SDG&E | SCE | PG&E |
|  |  |  | HV327 | Unoccupied Fan Control on AC Only Units |
|  |  |  | HV326 | Unoccupied Fan Control on AC Unit with Gas Heat |
|  |  |  | HV328 | Unoccupied Fan Control on Heat Pump |
|  |  |  | HV329 | Unoccupied Fan Control on Variable Volume AC Unit with Gas Heat |

This statewide work paper supports HVAC QM programs as well as HVAC tune-up programs in multiple programs and service territories. Refer to programs that offer the measure for specific restrictions and guidelines in addition to those described herein.

The target market for this measure is nonresidential buildings served by direct expansion (DX) unitary and split systems that do not serve process or refrigeration loads. The measure is defined for all nonresidential building types and all 16 California climate zones are covered. Savings are calculated for a weighted average of seven Database for Energy Efficient Resources (DEER15[[6]](#endnote-6)) vintages using utility-specific weightings.

Participating contractors must ensure the customer facility is physically located within the service territory of the Investor Owned Utility (IOU) administering the program, and that the customer receives electric services from that IOU. Other terms and conditions are set by individual programs.

This measure requires field documentation of the existing conditions that verify the measure was necessary and that the measure was successfully applied. This measure does not apply if the unoccupied supply fan operation is already set to “Auto” or intermittent.

## 1.2 Technical Description

The Unoccupied Fan Control measure modifies existing thermostat settings during unoccupied periods from continuous fan operation to intermittent fan operation. Energy savings are achieved through reducing unoccupied supply fan runtime unless zone conditions call for cooling or heating. Reduction in unoccupied supply fan runtime can also prevent bringing potentially unfavorable outside air into the conditioned space through leaky economizer dampers, causing an unnecessary increase in space heating or cooling.

## 1.3 Installation Types and Delivery Mechanisms

The installation type describes the program scenario in which the measure is applied, thus guiding energy savings and measure cost methodology. The installation type is retrocommissioning and operational programs in commercial settings (RC, previously known as REA in the table below, but terminology updated per CPUC Resolution E-4818 ) since the baseline is the existing unit. These are summarized below in Table 3.

Table Installation Type Descriptions

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Installation Type** | **Savings** | | **Life** | |
| 1st Baseline (BL) | 2nd BL | 1st BL | 2nd BL |
| Replace on Burnout (ROB) | Above Code or Standard | N/A | EUL | N/A |
| New Construction (NEW/NC) | Above Code or Standard | N/A | EUL | N/A |
| Retrofit or Early Replacement (RET/ER) | Above Customer Existing | Above Code or Standard | RUL | EUL-RUL |
| Retrofit First Baseline Only (REF) | Above Customer Existing | N/A | EUL | N/A |
| Retrocommissioning (RC) | Above Customer Existing | N/A | EUL | N/A |

A delivery mechanism is a delivery method paired with an incentive method. Delivery mechanisms are used by programs to obtain program participation and energy savings. See **Table 4** and **Table 5** below for descriptions of available delivery methods and incentive methods, respectively.

**SCE Delivery Mechanism:** Financial Supportpaired withDirect Install, Down-Stream Incentive – Deemed, or Mid-Stream Incentive

**PG&E Delivery Mechanism:** Financial Support paired with Direct Install or Mid-Stream Incentive

Table Delivery Method Descriptions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Delivery Method** | **Description** |
| Appliance Turn-in and Recycling | The program motivates customers, through financial incentives, to recycle appliances that are functional but inefficient. This prevents the continued use of those appliances, by both the current owner and potential future owners. |
| Audit/Information/Testing Services | The program performs a free assessment of a customer’s facility and provides the customer with information and guidance on energy efficiency opportunities. |
| Commissioning and Retrocommissioning | The program modifies or repairs existing equipment to ensure that it works as intended. |
| Financial Support | The program motivates customers, through financial incentives such as rebates or low interest loans, to implement energy efficient measures or projects. |
| Innovative Design | The program funds new ideas that meet reasonable scientific scrutiny for potential energy savings. These innovative measures typically have small market penetration (less than 5%) or are targeted toward relatively unreached market segments. |
| New Construction | The program offers financial incentives and/or design assistance to customers involved with new building construction. This is intended is to motivate customer to exceed Title 24 building energy efficiency requirements (residential or nonresidential). |
| Partnership | The program implements projects through a partnership between the utility and an institutional, government, or community-based organization. |
| Performance Based | The program offers financial incentives that vary based on the energy efficiency performance of specific projects. |
| Up-Stream Programs | See Up-Stream Incentive and Up-Stream Buy Down in the Incentive Method table. |

Table Incentive Method Descriptions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Incentive Method** | **Description** |
| Direct Install | The program implements energy efficiency measures for qualifying customers, at no cost to the customer. |
| Down-Stream Incentive | The customer installs qualifying energy efficient equipment and submits an incentive application to the utility program. Upon application approval, the utility program pays an incentive to the customer. Such an incentive may be deemed or customized. |
| Mid-Stream Incentive | The program gives a financial incentive to a midstream market actor, such as a retailer or contractor, to encourage the promotion of efficient measures. The incentive may or may not be passed on to the end-use customer. |
| Up-Stream Incentive | The program gives a financial incentive to an upstream market actor, such as a manufacturer or distributor, to encourage the manufacture, provision, or distribution of an efficient measure. The incentive may or may not be passed on to the end-use customer. |
| Up-Stream Buy Down | The program gives a financial incentive to an upstream market actor, such as a manufacturer or distributor, with specific requirements to pass down the incentive to the end use customer. Such an incentive buys-down the cost of an efficient measure for the end-use customer by at least the amount of the financial incentive. |
| Giveaway | The program provides customers with energy efficiency equipment or services for free. |
| Exchange/Replacement | The utility program holds events where customers can trade functional equipment for similar but more energy efficient equipment, free of charge. |
| On-bill Finance/Loan | The program offers financing for the cost an efficient measure as part of the utility bill. This can be an add-on option to an existing program or can serve as an organizing principle for its own program. |

## 1.4 Measure Parameters

### 1.4.1 DEER Data

The Database for Energy Efficient Resources (DEER) was referenced on November 19, 2015 for any 2016 updates that would impact this measure. No relevant updates were noted and a full Code Update for 2016 has not yet been presented on deeresources.com. This measure is not included in the Database for Energy Efficient Resources (DEER15[[7]](#endnote-7)).

Table DEER Difference Summary

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DEER Item** | **Used for Workpaper?** |
| Modified DEER methodology | No |
| Scaled DEER measure | No |
| DEER Base Case | No |
| DEER Measure Case | No |
| DEER Building Types | Yes |
| DEER Operating Hours | Yes |
| DEER eQUEST Prototypes | Yes, with modifications; see §2 |
| DEER Version | DEER 2015, READI v2.2.0 |
| Reason for Deviation from DEER | DEER does not contain this type of measure. |
| DEER Measure IDs Used | N/A |

**Net-to-Gross Ratio**

The NTGR values were obtained using the DEER READI tool[[8]](#endnote-8). The relevant NTGR values for the measures in this work paper are shown in **Table 7**.

Table Measure Net-to-Gross Ratios

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NTGR ID** | **Description** | **Sector** | **BldgType** | **Measure Delivery** | **NTGR** |
| NonRes-sAll-mHVAC-RCA | HVAC Maintenance: Refrigerant Charge Adjustment (RCA) | Com | Any | DirInstall | 0.73 |

**Spillage Rate**

Spillage rates are not tracked in work papers; they are tracked in an external document which will be supplied to the Commission Staff.

**Installation Rate**

The IR values were obtained using the DEER READI tool. The relevant IR values for the measures in this work paper are in **Table 8** below.

Table Installation Rates

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **GSIA ID** | **Description** | **Sector** | **BldgType** | **ProgDelivID** | **GSIAValue** |
| Def-GSIA | Default GSIA values | Any | Any | Any | 1 |

**Effective and Remaining Useful Life**

The EUL and RUL values were obtained using the DEER READI tool. DEER defines the RUL as 1/3 of the EUL value. The RUL value is only applicable to the first baseline period for a RC measure with an applicable code baseline. Per Resolution E-4818, measures resulting in performance that does not exceed the nominal efficiency of the pre-existing equipment have an effective useful life not to exceed three years, and for this reason the EUL of this measure is capped at three years. The relevant EUL and RUL values for the measures in this work paper are shown in **Table 9** below.

Table EUL and RUL

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **EUL ID** | **Description** | **Sector** | **UseCategory** | **EUL (Years)** | **RUL (Years)** |
| NonRes-RCx-Operational | Reducing Overventilation | Com | HVAC | 3 | 1 |

### 1.4.2 Codes and Standards Analysis

These maintenance measures are not governed by either state or federal codes and standards. The document Standard 180-2008, Standard Practice for Inspection and Maintenance of Commercial Building HVAC Systems[[9]](#endnote-9) may be used by QM programs as a guide for measure implementation. Only licensed California contractors will participate in the program. As required by the California State Licensing Board, contractors will be responsible for meeting all applicable codes. In general, maintenance and repairs do not require permits.

## 1.5 EM&V, Market Potential, and Other Studies – Base Case and Measure Case Information

### Two studies of significant importance to the measure development in this work paper are described in the following section. Per Resolution E-4818 the default measure-level baseline shall be existing conditions for behavioral, retrocommissioning, and operational (BRO) measure installation types, which encompasses the measures in this work paper.

### 1.5.1 WORKPAPER DISPOSITION FOR Non-Residential HVAC Rooftop Quality Maintenance3

Completion date: 5-2-2013

Author: California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division

This Disposition outlines revision requirements to the existing work papers that cover discrete rooftop unit (RTU) QM service tasks and suites of service tasks for nonresidential QM programs. Three general directives are outlined in the Disposition are:

1. Revise ex ante claims process to be based on actual service tasks completed as part of the QM process,
2. Revise All UES values to use staff recommended values, and
3. All IOUs should establish consistent savings estimate approach, preferably using eQUEST.

Additional, more detailed guidance is provided in the Disposition for each of the recognized service tasks. The Disposition addressed Unoccupied Fan Control (synonymous with “thermostat re-programming”) as having an overly optimistic scenario, ignoring negative or zero energy saving situations where the thermostat could be set to be completely off during unoccupied periods or when time-clock controls are completely overridden by the user. Accounting for the time-clock overriding issue, the Disposition assigns only 50% of the unit energy and demand savings (UES) claimed by initial Unoccupied Fan Control building energy modeling and has been incorporated into this work paper.

### 1.5.2 *HVAC Impact Evaluation FINAL Report WO32 HVAC – Volume 1: Report*[[10]](#endnote-10)

Completion date: 1-28-2014

Author: California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division

This document (WO32) is a study of statewide, third-party, and local programs targeting unitary HVAC systems during the 2010-2012 program cycle, including Commercial Quality Maintenance (CQM). WO32 study evaluated gross energy savings and installation rates through activities including on-site field evaluations, sampling and monitoring the performance and energy use of units enrolled in the programs before and after CQM maintenance, and additional laboratory testing of existing HVAC units. The study highlights findings for key quality maintenance treatments (and parameters) including, but not limited to, recognition of typical damper leakage characteristics, non-functional economizer conditions and performance, and adjusting refrigerant charge.

The economizer damper leakage observed during laboratory testing suggests that existing economizers are generally allowing 15% outdoor airflow with closed dampers, 20% outdoor airflow with the commonly applied “finger open” methodology for minimum ventilation, and 62% outdoor airflow with dampers completely open. The damper leakage findings can greatly vary energy savings results and have been incorporated into building energy modeling methodology as described in §2.1.

## 1.6 Data Quality and Future Data Needs

The Disposition adjustment decreasing modeled savings by 50% is potentially overly conservative. Programmable thermostats are required by all the IOU programs and have requirements on the length of unoccupied setback overrides, only allowing override for a set time period well within the course of a day. Comprehensive analysis on the prevalence of timeclocks being systematically overridden within the CQM programs would assist in justifying the UES multiplier.

# Section 2. Calculation Methodology

Energy savings and demand reduction for non-refrigeration models were estimated using eQUEST version 3.64.7130 energy modeling software and DOE-2.2R version 52h energy modeling simulation engine for refrigeration models. The DEER 2014 and DEER 2015 prototypes for the customer average (CAv) case of the Tech IDs shown in **Table 10** were used with some modification (as described in §2.1) to develop base and measure case energy use and demand estimates. DEER prototypes were generated using MASControl v3.00.27[[11]](#endnote-11) for all prototypes applicable to the DEER 2015 Code Update and MASControl v3.00.20[[12]](#endnote-12) for the remaining DEER 2014 Code Update prototypes. All modeling was performed using default DEER hours and the CZ2010 weather files[[13]](#endnote-13).

Table 10 DEER Prototype Tech ID by Measure

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Measure** | **DEER Prototype Tech ID** |
| Unoccupied Fan Control on AC Unit with Gas Heat | **Non-Motel:** D08-NE-HVAC-airAC-SpltPkg-110to134kBtuh-11p5eer  MASControl v3.00.27 |
| **Motel:** D08-NE-ILtg-Power-Exit-60pct  MASControl v3.00.20 |
| Unoccupied Fan Control on AC Only Unit | **Non-Motel:** D08-NE-HVAC-airAC-SpltPkg-110to134kBtuh-11p5eer  MASControl v3.00.27 |
| **Motel:** D08-NE-ILtg-Power-Exit-60pct  MASControl v3.00.20 |
| Unoccupied Fan Control on Heat Pump | **Non-Education Relocatable Classroom:**  D08-NE-HVAC-airHP-SpltPkg-110to134kBtuh-11p5eer-3p4cop  MASControl v3.00.20 |
| **Education Relocatable Classroom:**  D08-NE-HVAC-airHP-PkgEcono-55to64kBtuh-15p0seer-8p2hspf  MASControl v3.00.27 |
| Unoccupied Fan Control on Variable Volume AC Unit with Gas Heat | **All:** D08-NE-HVAC-airAC-PVAV-240to759kBtuh-10p8eer  MASControl v3.00.27 |

With the exception of motel building type and education relocatable classroom building type with heat pumps, DEER prototypes for AC and Heat Pump measures were created using the “110to134kBtuh” cooling capacity range. This capacity range allows prototypes to be generated for the widest range of building types. Savings variation between the size ranges simulated was minimal, and results from a single size range were determined to be an adequate representation for all applicable system size ranges. In addition, larger systems generally operate less efficiently than systems in the selected size range. Savings for larger units of these types are therefore slightly conservative. Variable Volume AC units were not available in the “110to134kBtuh” range and were created using “240to759kBtuh”.

## 2.1 DEER Prototype Modifications: Damper Leakage

Modifications were made to the DEER prototypes to simulate outside air damper leakage and return air damper leakage and exhaust re-entrainment. These modified DEER prototypes were then used to develop the Base and Measure case eQUEST models as described in §2.2 and §2.3 below. Rationale for the damper leakage modifications is described below.

In the course of developing *Demand Controlled Ventilation for Single Zone Packaged HVAC*[[14]](#endnote-14)*,* work paper authors met with a consultant (Kevin Madison) from the Energy Division Ex-Ante Review Team to develop appropriate baseline assumptions and resulting modifications to the DEER prototypes. The following modifications were agreed upon.

1. A minimum outside air fraction of 20% was used instead of 0% due to emerging research (not yet published at the time of the meeting) that indicates closed damper leakage for packaged HVAC systems are higher than previously thought.
2. A maximum outside air fraction of 70% was used instead of 100% due to emerging research (was not yet published) that indicates return air damper leakage and exhaust air re-entrainment for packaged HVAC systems are higher than previously thought, leading to inability of most systems to provide 100% outside air.

Review of *WO3210* confirmed that these outside air assumptions are consistent with the best available laboratory data, and were therefore used to adjust baseline assumptions for this work paper as well.

To implement these modifications to the DEER prototypes the specific modifications to eQUEST keywords shown in **Table 11** were performed.

**Table 11 Baseline Modifications to eQUEST Keywords**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **eQUEST Keyword** | **DEER Value** | **Modified Baseline Value** |
| SYSTEM:MIN-OUTSIDE-AIR | Varies | 0.2 |
| SYSTEM:MAX-OA-FRACTION | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| ZONE:OA/FLOW-PER | Varies | Set such that ZONE:OA-FLOW/PER x Peak Occupancy # of People  is between:   1. 0.2 x Supply Air Flow Rate 2. 0.7 x Supply Air Flow Rate   This modification ensures the first two keywords are not overwritten. |
| DAY-SCHEDULE:VALUES[#]  Only in daily schedules being used for SYSTEM:MIN-AIR-SCH | 0.001 for unoccupied periods, -999 for occupied periods | Modify 0.001 to 0.2 during unoccupied periods.  This modification ensures that unit operation during scheduled unoccupied periods will properly simulate damper leakage. |
| SYSTEM:OA-CONTROL | FIXED | OA-TEMP  Only in “v75” prototypes where some systems were not affected by DEER 2015 Code Update and could not be created with default economizer baseline. |

The SYSTEM modifications were applied to every DX-cooling HVAC system in the model except for packaged terminal air conditioners (PTACs) which are unlikely to have economizers and thus economizer damper leakage. The ZONE modification was applied to each conditioned zone served by the effected HVAC systems. The DAY-SCHEDULE modification was only applied to schedules being assigned to SYSTEM:MIN-AIR-SCH and avoids effecting PTAC units assigned to the same schedule by duplicating the DAY-SCHEDULE, renaming and assigning them to the PTAC systems, and only modifying original applicable DAY-SCHEDULE values. Hourly reports were verified to ensure that the keyword changes properly simulated the desired effects of damper leakage for both the occupied and unoccupied periods. The only three building types affected by the omission of PTACs were hospitals (Hsp), hotels (Htl), and universities (EUn).

These modified DEER prototype models are referred to as the “Damper Leakage” prototypes in the remainder of this Work Paper.

## 2.2 Base Case

The base case methodology begins with Damper Leakage prototypes and alters the models to simulate the fault representing HVAC units in an as-found condition.

To implement Unoccupied Fan Control fault simulations to the Damper Leakage prototypes, specific modifications to eQUEST keywords shown in **Table 12** Baseline Modifications to eQUEST Keywords were performed on all systems types serving conditioned spaces.

Table Baseline Modifications to eQUEST Keywords

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Modeled Faults** | **eQUEST Keyword** | **DEER Value** | **Modified Baseline Value** |
| 24/7 Continuous Supply Fan Operation | SYSTEM:FAN-SCHEDULE | Varies | Hourly Report Schedule |
| SYSTEM:INDOOR-FAN-MODE | CONTINUOUS | CONTINUOUS |

The SYSTEM:INDOOR-FAN-MODE keyword identifies continuous or intermittent supply fan operation during occupied periods. It is set as CONTINUOUS in both the Damper Leakage prototypes and the fault case. The SYSTEM:FAN-SCHEDULE keyword assigns an ON/OFF schedule which requires the supply fan to follow occupied operation set by SYSTEM:INDOOR-FAN-MODE. An hour set to ON results in continuous supply fan operation during that hour while an hour set to OFF results in intermittent operation corresponding to calls for cooling or heating. The Damper Leakage prototype value for SYSTEM:FAN-SCHEDULE varies by prototype but all employ schedules that align the supply fan occupied operation with the building occupancy schedules. The base case assigns the SYSTEM:FAN-SCHEDULE keyword value to “Hourly Report Schedule” which has all hours set to ON, resulting in 24 hours per day supply fan operation regardless of building occupancy.

The following building types already utilize 24/7 occupied schedules in which case the modeled fault results in the same energy use as the Damper Leakage prototypes: hospitals (Hsp), motels (Mtl), nursing homes (Nrs), and conditioned storage (SCn).

## 2.3 Measure Case

The Damper Leakage prototypes used as the reference models for the measure case buildings are unmodified.

## 2.4 Electric Energy Savings Estimation Methodologies

As a Retrocommissioning measure, only a single baseline calculation is required. The electric energy savings from the first baseline incorporating the UES multiplier from the Disposition is represented in the calculations below.

**Equation 1: Annual Energy Savings**

Where:

kWh per ton savings = annual unit energy savings

baseline kWh = annual building energy consumption from the modeled fault

measure kWh = annual building energy consumption of measure

cooling tons = design cooling capacity of base case systems

A sample calculation using a 1996 vintage small office (OfS) prototype with AC and Gas Heat located in climate zone 1 is provided. **Table 13** displays modeling results for building energy use and cooling system tonnage.

**Table 13 OfS-w01-v96-airAC Prototype Electric Energy Use and Cooling Capacity Data**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **24/7 Continuous Supply Fan Operation** | **Measure** |
| Whole building energy use (kWh/yr) | 120,995 | 105,149 |
| System cooling capacity (Btu/h) | 261,909 | 261,909 |

## Demand Reduction Estimation Methodologies

Demand reduction estimates must consider the DEER peak demand period. The peak period is defined as 2:00 PM to 5:00 PM on three specific weekdays and varies by climate zone as shown in the following table[[15]](#endnote-15):

Table DEER Peak Demand Periods

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Climate Zone** | **3-Weekday Period** | **Climate Zone** | **3-Weekday Period** |
| 1 | Sep 16 – Sep 18 | 9 | Sep 1 – Sep 3 |
| 2 | July 8 – July 10 | 10 | Sep 1 – Sep 3 |
| 3 | July 8 – July 10 | 11 | July 8 – July 10 |
| 4 | Sep 1 – Sep 3 | 12 | July 8 – July 10 |
| 5 | Sep 8 – Sep 10 | 13 | July 8 – July 10 |
| 6 | Sep 1 – Sep 3 | 14 | Aug 26 – Aug 28 |
| 7 | Sep 1 – Sep 3 | 15 | Aug 25 – Aug 27 |
| 8 | Sep 1 – Sep 3 | 16 | July 8 – July 10 |

Demand reduction is calculated similarly to electric energy savings, however DEER demand reduction estimation protocol requires using the average hourly peak demand for the 9-hours of the DEER peak period. The following equation is then used to determine demand reduction per ton of cooling capacity.

Where:

kW per ton savings = annual unit demand reduction

baseline kW = average demand for DEER peak period of customer average from the modeled fault

measure kW = average demand for DEER peak period of measure

cooling tons = design cooling capacity of base case systems

A sample calculation using a 1996 vintage small office (OfS) prototype located in climate zone 1 is provided. **Table 13** provides electric energy use and cooling capacity data for the baseline and measure case on the Asm prototype approximating a building constructed in 1996 in climate zone 11.

**Table 15 OfS-w01-v96-airAC Prototype Electric Demand Use and Cooling Capacity Data**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **24/7 Continuous Supply Fan Operation** | **Measure** |
| 9/16 2-3pm demand (kW) | 30.32 | 31.43 |
| 9/16 3-4pm demand (kW) | 29.90 | 30.90 |
| 9/16 4-5pm demand (kW) | 23.33 | 24.29 |
| 9/17 2-3pm demand (kW) | 29.28 | 30.30 |
| 9/17 3-4pm demand (kW) | 29.03 | 30.02 |
| 9/17 4-5pm demand (kW) | 22.84 | 23.76 |
| 9/18 2-3pm demand (kW) | 37.78 | 38.50 |
| 9/18 3-4pm demand (kW) | 36.53 | 37.14 |
| 9/18 4-5pm demand (kW) | 30.75 | 31.28 |
| **DEER Demand Average (kW)** | **29.97** | **30.85** |
| System cooling capacity (Btu/h) | 261,909 | 261,909 |

## Gas Energy Savings Estimation Methodologies

As a Retrocommissioning measure, the incremental cost is equal to the gross measure cost and only a single baseline calculation is required. The electric energy savings from the first baseline are represented in the calculations below.

**Equation 2: Annual Energy Savings**

Where:

Therms per ton savings = annual unit energy savings

baseline Therms = annual building energy consumption from the modeled fault

measure Therms = annual building energy consumption of measure

cooling tons = design cooling capacity of base case systems

A sample calculation using a 1996 vintage small office (OfS) prototype with AC and Gas Heat located in climate zone 1 is provided. **Table 16** displays modeling results for building energy use and cooling system tonnage.

**Table 16 OfS-w01-v96-airAC Prototype Natural Gas Energy Use and Cooling Capacity Data**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **24/7 Continuous Supply Fan Operation** | **Measure** |
| Whole building energy use (Therms/yr) | 1,651.58 | 1,007.32 |
| System cooling capacity (Btu/h) | 261,909 | 261,909 |

## Vintage Weighted Average

Baseline and measure simulations used the 7 DEER building vintages[[16]](#endnote-16) described in **Table 17** for both customer average and code prototypes.

**Table 17 DEER Building Vintage Codes and Descriptions**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DEER Vintage Code** | **Description** |
| v75 | Before 1978 |
| v85 | 1978 - 1992 |
| v96 | 1993 - 2001 |
| v03 | 2002 - 2005 |
| v07 | 2006 - 2009 |
| v11 | 2010 - 2013 |
| v14 | 2014 - 2015 |

DEER 2014 vintage weighting tables and procedures were used to appropriately weight all measure electric and demand reduction savings according to each vintage per IOU, building type, and climate zone. The following equation describes the DEER 2014 weighting methodology.

Where:

final weighted value=reported energy savings value (kWh/ton, kW/ton, or therms/ton)

i=vintage 75, 85, 96, 03, 07, 11, 14

W=Weight for a given vintage i

V=energy savings value for a given vintage (kWh/ton, kW/ton, or therms/ton)

**Table 18** contains sample measure savings for a customer average small office building in climate zone 4 and PG&E territory. The sample measure savings are shown by vintage and the resulting final weighted savings values after applying the DEER 2014 weighting methodology.

Table Vintage Weighting Sample for PG&E OfS CZ04

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WtSet** | **Vintage** | **DEER Weight** | **Savings**  **kWh/Ton** | **Demand Reduction**  **kW/Ton** | **Savings**  **Therms/Ton** |
| PGEOfSCZ01 | v75 | 0.5092 | 365.02 | -0.0157 | 30.17 |
| PGEOfSCZ01 | v85 | 0.2020 | 357.14 | -0.0191 | 27.05 |
| PGEOfSCZ01 | v96 | 0.1493 | 363.01 | -0.0200 | 29.52 |
| PGEOfSCZ01 | v03 | 0.0597 | 355.40 | -0.0224 | 27.58 |
| PGEOfSCZ01 | v07 | 0.0319 | 357.90 | -0.0228 | 29.06 |
| PGEOfSCZ01 | v11 | 0.0319 | 329.80 | -0.0219 | 30.35 |
| PGEOfSCZ01 | v14 | 0.0160 | 137.81 | -0.0352 | 47.88 |
| **Final Weighted Savings** | **Existing** |  | **357.58** | **-0.0182** | **29.54** |

# Section 3. Load Shapes

Load shapes are used for portfolio lifecycle cost analysis. A load shape indicates the distribution of a measure’s energy savings over one year. A load shape is a set of fractions summing to unity, with one fraction per hour (or other time period). Multiplying a savings value by the load shape value for any particular hour yields the energy savings for that particular hour.

The ideal load shape for net benefits estimates would represent the difference between the base case and measure case. The closest load shapes that are applicable to the measures in this work paper are listed in Table 19 below.

Table Building Types and Load Shapes

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Building Type** | **Load Shape** | **E3 Alternate Building Type** |
| Assembly | DEER:HVAC\_Split-Package\_AC, DEER:HVAC\_Split-Package\_HP | NON\_RES |
| Education - Primary School |
| Education - Secondary School |
| Education - Relocatable Classroom |
| Education - Community College |
| Education - University |
| Grocery |
| Health/Medical - Nursing Home |
| Health/Medical - Hospital |
| Lodging – Hotel |
| Lodging - Motel |
| Manufacturing – Bio/Tech |
| Manufacturing – Light Industrial |
| Office - Large |
| Office - Small |
| Restaurant - Fast-Food |
| Restaurant - Sit-Down |
| Retail - Multistory Large |
| Retail - Single-Story Large |
| Retail - Small |
| Storage - Conditioned |
| Warehouse - Refrigerated |

# Section 4. Costs

Costs for these measures are not included in the 2010-2012 WO017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Final Report [[17]](#endnote-17) by ITRON (Cost Study). Other costing methods are used and are described in the following sections. The DEER Measure Cost Data Users Guide[[18]](#endnote-18) was also referenced. As a Retrocommissioning measure, the incremental cost for Unoccupied Fan Control is equal to the gross measure cost.

## 4.1 Base Case Cost

The base case is the customer’s existing equipment; therefore the base case cost is $0.00.

## 4.2 Measure Case Cost

Costs for Unoccupied Fan Control are based on an estimated 1 hour of labor at the DEER labor rate of $67.88, and are normalized to an RTU tonnage of 12.5 tons. The resulting costs per ton cooling are $0 for equipment and $5.43 for labor.

## 4.3 Full and Incremental Measure Cost

Table Full and Incremental Measure Cost Equations

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Installation Type** | **Incremental Measure Cost** | **Full Measure Cost** | |
| **1st Baseline** | **2nd Baseline** |
| ROB | (MEC + MLC) – (BEC + BLC) | (MEC + MLC) – (BEC + BLC) | N/A |
| NEW/NC |
| RET/ER | (MEC + MLC) – (BEC + BLC) | MEC + MLC | (MEC + MLC) – (BEC + BLC) |
| REF | (MEC + MLC) – (BEC + BLC) | MEC + MLC | N/A |
| RC | MEC + MLC | MEC + MLC | N/A |

MEC = Measure Equipment Cost; MLC = Measure Labor Cost

BEC = Base Case Equipment Cost; BLC = Base Case Labor Cost

Table Full and Incremental Costs

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Measure** | **Installation Type** | **Incremental Measure Cost** | **Full Measure Cost** | |
| **1st Baseline** | **2nd Baseline** |
| Unoccupied Fan Control | REA | $0 +$5.43 = $5.43 | $0 +$5.43 = $5.43 | N/A |
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